ACADEMIC SENATE PROPOSAL TRACKING SHEET

(Document To Be Originated By the Academic Senate Secretary On Canary Color Paper)

| Proposal # 22-73 | Title: EDU 336A; Practicum I |
|------------------|------------------------------|

(Proposal explanation, submitter and college dean signatures on attached program/degree or course revision form.)

All proposals MUST have their originating college faculty body (Ex. Arts & Sciences, Education and Nursing; Technical Sciences) approval and must be signed by the submitter and the college dean before being submitted to the Academic Senate Secretary.

- 1. Submit all proposals (using the appropriate Academic Senate program/degree and/or course revision forms or General Education Inclusion form) to the Academic Senate Secretary. NOTE: Level 1 or Level 2 forms must be submitted concurrent with this proposal where applicable. For Education proposals, PEU approval must be received prior to forwarding the proposal to the Senate.
- 2. The Academic Senate Secretary logs and numbers items and forwards them to the appropriate Academic Senate subcommittee(s): General Education (if applicable), or Curriculum. A transmittal e-mail will be sent to the Recording Secretary of the receiving committee, cc Provost's Administrative Assistant, by the Academic Senate Secretary. A digital copy of the proposal will be linked on the Academic Senate Proposal page by the Academic Senate Secretary.
- 3. The Academic Senate subcommittee(s) consider(s) the proposal. If approved, the proposal is returned to the Academic Senate Secretary for forwarding to the next committee. If a committee disapproves the proposal, the originator may request that the item be forwarded to the next body for consideration. The committee will provide written rationale to the originator, via the Academic Senate, when a proposal is disapproved and the proposal is returned to the originator. Upon completion of committee action, the proposal will be returned to the Academic Senate Secretary, and a transmittal e-mail sent by the Committee Recorder to the Senate Secretary, cc Provost's Administrative Assistant.
- 4. The Academic Senate considers the proposal and recommends approval or disapproval. If approved, the proposal is forwarded to the Provost for consideration. If the Academic Senate disapproves the proposal, the originator may request that the item be forwarded to the Full Faculty for consideration, utilizing the procedures set forth in the Senate Bylaws. The Academic Senate will provide written rationale to the originator when proposals are disapproved and the proposal is returned to the originator.
- 5. Approved proposals will be forwarded to the Provost. The Provost approves or disapproves the proposal. If approved, the proposal is then forwarded to the Chancellor. From this point forward, the Provost's Administrative Assistant will update the Proposal page on the website by contacting the webmaster.
- 7. The Chancellor approves or disapproves the proposal.
- 8. The proposal will then either be implemented or referred to MSU for further action. The tracking page on the Provost site will be updated as required.

Subcommittee and Academic Senate college representatives will notify their respective colleges' of the progress of submitted proposals or the proposal may be tracked via the web page -- http://www.msun.edu/admin/provost/senate/proposals.htm

Documentation and forms for the curriculum process is also available on the web page: http://www.msun.edu/admin/provost/forms.htm

*****(If a proposal is disapproved at any level, it is returned through the Academic Senate secretary and the Senate President, to the Dean of the submitting college who then notifies the originator.

| | Date | Action Taken | Signature | Date | Comments/Reason for Disapproval | Sent to | Date | Transmittal E-mail sent |
|--|------------|--|-------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|----------------------------|
| *Abstract received by Senate Secretary | | Copy to Senate President. Forward to Provost. | | | | | | D man sent |
| *Provost | | ☐ Abstract Approved ☐ Disapproved | | | | | | |
| Received by Senate Secretary | 04/04/2023 | Tracking form initiated | D Bradley | | Forward to Gen Ed | K Udayakumar | | |
| General Education Committee (if applicable) | 04/04/2023 | ☐ Approved ☐ N/A | D Bradley | | Forward to Curriculum Committee | C Donoven | | DocuSign |
| Curriculum Committee (if applicable) | 04/04/2023 | ☐ Approved☐ Disapproved☐ | Casey Donoven | | Forward to Academic | Senautyeant | | Docusign |
| Academic Senate | 4/11/2023 | | Valerie Guyan | | forward | C. Reifschn | eider | Docusign |
| Full Faculty (if necessary) | | ☐ Approved ☐ Disapproved | DE99580729A143B | | | | | |
| Provost | 5-26-23 | Approved Disapproved | Carol A. R | elalino | of Forward | Chancel | 100 | |
| Chancellor | | Approved Disapproved | Dugny D. Kay | 1 (.8.707 | 3 | | | |
| | | | | 6000 | | | | The second second |
| MSU | | ☐ Approved ☐ Disapproved | NIA | | | | | |
| BOR | | ☐ Approved ☐ Disapproved | NIA | | | | | 4 |
| NWCCU | | Approved Disapproved | NÍA NIA NIA | | | | | |
| Provost | | Advise originating college and Academic Senate of status. Update Web page. | | | | | | |
| Registrar | | Catalog/Policy Manual Update | | | | | | |

NOTE: The secretary of the Academic Senate will update the Academic Senate Proposal web page from initial receipt until the proposal reaches the Provost. The Provost's Administrative Assistant will ensure that the current status of each proposal is maintained on the Academic Senate Proposal web page from that point forward.

*Abstract and pre-approval required for new programs ONLY.

Academic Senate Form 1 (Revised 3/21/2012)

COURSE REVISION FORM

| NEW DROPPED | MAJOR REVISION X FOR INFORMATION OF | NLY |
|--|---|---------------------|
| College CASE Submitter 4 | Program Area Education Date | Date_3/22/2023 |
| Please provide a brief explan | ation & rationale for the proposed revision(s): | |
| including (InTASC Standards, Cod | icum I to 3 credits and making the outcomes more is e of Ethics, and Indian Education for All) as they relate to various els. This is in an effort to change to program according | lements of teaching |
| parity with other univ 2. To combine courses v order to make deliver | ursework in the education program to bring our cre ersities across the state. where appropriate to eliminate the 1-credit and 2-crey and student course load more efficient (CCN) with the MUS Transfer Pathways | |
| Please provide the following College: CASE Program Area: Educat Date: 2/22/29 Course Prefix & No.: | ion | |
| Course Title: Credits: | Practicum I | |
| Required by: | Elementary Education and all Secondary Education | n majors |
| Selective in: Elective in: General Education: | NA NA NA | |
| Lecture: Lecture/Lab: Gradable Lab: | 3 | |
| Contact hours lecture: Contact hours lab: | 45 | |

Current Catalog Description (include all prerequisites):

This course is taken by candidates in conjunction with their Level I coursework. Candidates will be placed in 45 hour field experiences with the express purpose of practicing the methodology of teaching in various areas in a classroom setting. This course must be repeated three times for a total of 3 credits in conjunction with Practicum 1, 2, and 3 experiences at each level of the program. Prerequisite: Level I Admission to Teacher Education. Graduate credit requirements are described in the course syllabus. If this class is taken at the 500 level, it is a graduate course and expectations for student performance are at an advanced level. Evaluation of course requirements is more rigorous than at the lower division of this course.

Proposed or New Catalog Description (include all prerequisites):

This course is designed to provide teacher candidates with the opportunity to explore and understand educational foundations as they align with classroom experience. Teacher candidates will complete a 45-hour field experience with the purpose of observing, understanding, and critiquing the foundations of education (InTASC Standards, Code of Ethics, and Indian Education for All) as they relate to various elements of teaching and learning within a classroom setting. Teacher candidates will engage in professional and reflective practices while bridging their coursework with classroom experiences. This course will support TC's initial draft of their philosophy of education statement as well as provide them with an understanding of action research.

Level I Admission to Educator Preparation Program

Course Outcome Objectives:

Teacher candidates will explore and discuss various foundations of education and effective teaching: Danielson Framework of Teaching, InTASC Standards, Code of Ethics, and IEFA.

 Teacher candidates will summarize elements of each education foundation and <u>critique</u> classroom management and instruction based on **observations**.

Teacher candidates will use theory, research, and experience to begin forming their philosophy of education.

Teacher candidates will **demonstrate commitment** to continuous professional growth and improvement through <u>professional</u> <u>development opportunities and reflective processes</u>.

- TC will engage in PD through Hub courses (IEFA)
- o TC will understand the elements, application, and importance of action research.
- Teacher candidates will complete 45 hours of field experience that aligns with the candidate's major or minor program with mentorship of a classroom teacher in an accredited public K-12 school setting.
 - Teacher candidates will exercise professionalism in all areas during the field placement.

Additional instructional resources needed (including library materials, special equipment, and facilities). Please note: approval does not indicate support for new faculty or additional resources.

Updated 09/29/05